Remarks

Claims 1, 4-5, 7, and 9 were rejected as unpatentable over NAKAI et al. WO 01/78317 Al. Claim 2 was rejected further in view of TSUKAMOTO 2007/0201653 Al. The claims have been amended and reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejections are respectfully requested. Neither NAKAI et al. nor TSUKAMOTO disclose the dual authentication system and method in the amended claims and thus the amended claims avoid the rejections.

Claims 1, 5, 7, and 9 define a telephone system and method wherein the key telephone system terminal unit logs in to an IP address of the key telephone system main unit with first ID and password and at this point is authenticated and permitted to make VoIP calls but is not permitted to access directory information in a database in the key telephone system main unit. Thereafter, the key telephone system terminal unit logs on to the key telephone system main unit with predetermined second ID and password. Once the unit has been authenticated with the second ID and password, the unit is permitted to access the directory information stored in the database and then to display a portal site for accessing information stored in the database. Support is found, for example, at page 7, line 26 through page 9, line 8 and page 10, line 10 through page 11, line 3.

NAKAI et al. disclose a system with an authentication function 200a (paragraph 1-4, Figure 2) that includes a first authentication (e.g., password 203c). However, contrary to the

Docket No. 8028-1046 Appln. No. 10/724,708

amended claims this one authentication appears to permit access to both calls and to a directory (paragraphs 1-4, 1-5). NAKAI et al. does not disclose a directory restriction corresponding to the one in the first authentication of the amended claims and there is no second authentication that is after the first authentication and that permits access to the directory. TSUKAMOTO does not make up for this shortcoming and thus the combination does not disclose the two separate authentications of the amended claims, which thereby avoids the rejection under \$103.

In view of the present amendment and the foregoing remarks, it is believed that the present application has been placed in condition for allowance. Reconsideration and allowance are respectfully requested.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized in this, concurrent, and future replies, to charge payment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 25-0120 for any additional fees required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.16 or under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17.

Respectfully submitted,

YOUNG & THOMPSON

Thomas W. Perkins, Reg. No. 33,027

Cystomer No. 00466

イ45 South 23rd Street Arlington, VA 22202

Telephone (703) 521-2297

Telefax (703) 685-0573

(703) 979-4709

TWP/mjr